

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and the remarks following is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 are in this case. Claim 1-14 have been rejected. Independent claims 1 and dependent claim 14 have been amended.

Objections

The Examiner has objected to the drawing since there is one drawing figure, not three. The drawing has been amended to overcome the examiners objection.

The Examiner has objected to claims 1 since it is not one sentence. Applicant has amended claim 1 to overcome the Examiner's objections.

§ 112 Rejection

The Examiner has rejected claim 14 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended claim 14 to overcome the Examiner's rejection.

§ 103(a) Rejections – Morford in view of Smith

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-14 under § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morford in view of Smith. The Examiner's rejections are respectfully traversed.

While continuing to traverse the Examiner's rejections, Applicant has, in order to expedite the prosecution, chosen to amend independent claim 1.

Applicant believes that the amendment to claim 1 completely overcomes the Examiner's rejections on §103(a) grounds.

In view of the above amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 1 and 2 and dependent claims 3- 14 are in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Jonathan Leci

Date: 16 July, 2004